

2024

BACKGROUND PAPER

Topic: Civil war: Approval of Sokovia accords

Marvel Committee

**Ruben Cruz, Diego Morales, Sofia Llanito,
Aranzazu Romero & Nicole Eissner**



INDEX

Topic Background	3
Committee Background	4
General History & Aftermath	5
Committee focus	6
Committee controversies	7
Challenges	8
Topic Consequences	9
Committee Initiatives	10
Participation List	11
References	14

Topic Background



The United Nations Security Council, convened to address the pressing matter of enhanced individuals and the Sokovia Accords, is presented with a unique and complex challenge.

Stemming from the events portrayed in Marvel movies, particularly "Captain America: Civil War," the Sokovia Accords represent an attempt to regulate the activities of individuals possessing superhuman abilities and advanced technology.

As we delve into the intricacies of this issue, it is crucial to balance the need for global security with the protection of individual rights and autonomy. The rise of superhuman activity can be traced back to the mid-20th century, with the emergence of individuals possessing extraordinary abilities due to scientific experimentation, genetic mutations, or exposure to unique phenomena.

Initially, operating in the shadows, these enhanced individuals, colloquially known as "superheroes" or "vigilantes," began to play a significant role in global events. Their actions, however, were often unchecked and led to unforeseen consequences.

The catalyst for the Sokovia Accords was the Battle of Sokovia, a devastating conflict that unfolded in Eastern Europe. The Avengers, a group of prominent enhanced individuals, intervened in an attempt to thwart a global threat. Regrettably, the battle resulted in the levitation of a city, widespread destruction, and numerous casualties. The international community, alarmed by the scale of the collateral damage, demanded accountability and regulation for enhanced individuals.

Committee Background



In response to mounting pressure and public outcry, the United Nations drafted the Sokovia Accords. The Accords aimed to establish a comprehensive regulatory framework governing the actions of enhanced individuals, ensuring that their interventions were aligned with global security interests and minimizing the risk of catastrophic events like the one in Sokovia. The drafting process of the Sokovia Accords was fraught with diplomatic challenges. Disagreements arose over the extent of governmental control, the balance between individual rights and collective security, and the feasibility of implementing a global oversight mechanism. Some nations argued for strict regulation, emphasizing the need for enhanced individuals to be under the direct command of national governments, while others advocated for a more decentralized approach to avoid potential abuses of power.

During negotiations, the involvement of powerful figures like Tony Stark (Iron Man) and Steve Rogers (Captain America) highlighted the deep ideological divides among enhanced individuals themselves. Stark, haunted by the unintended consequences of his actions, supported the Accords as a means of preventing future catastrophes. In contrast, Rogers, skeptical of government control, championed individual autonomy and the freedom to act based on personal convictions.

Upon ratification, the Sokovia Accords were implemented globally, with nations establishing specialized task forces to register, train, and oversee enhanced individuals. The enforcement, however, led to tensions and conflicts. Some superheroes, refusing to comply with the Accords, became fugitives, leading to an internal struggle within the superhero community. One notable incident was the confrontation between factions led by Captain America and Iron Man, resulting in significant personal and ideological rifts. The unintended consequence of the Accords was the fragmentation of the superhero community, compromising its ability to effectively respond to emerging threats.



General History & Aftermath

In the aftermath of the implementation of the Sokovia Accords, the global response has been mixed. Some nations have successfully integrated enhanced individuals into their security apparatus, leveraging their abilities to address emerging threats. Others, however, continue to face challenges in enforcing the Accords, with renegade superheroes operating beyond national jurisdiction.

Ongoing debates within the international community center on the need for amendments to the Accords, addressing concerns about privacy, potential misuse of information, and the delicate balance between individual liberties and global security imperatives.

As the United Nations Security Council, it is imperative to navigate these complexities and work towards a comprehensive and sustainable solution that preserves both global stability and the rights of enhanced individuals.

Committee Focus



Registration and Oversight

Enhanced individuals are required to register their identities and abilities with a designated international body. This information is intended to be shared with relevant national and international authorities to ensure transparency.



Training and Certification

Enhanced individuals must undergo specialized training to enhance their control over their abilities and minimize collateral damage. Certification processes are in place to determine an individual's readiness for field operations.



Government Oversight

Governments are granted the authority to regulate and deploy enhanced individuals based on national and global security interests. This includes authorizing or restricting their involvement in specific situations.

Committee Controversies



One of the primary sources of controversy surrounding the Sokovia Accords lies in the fundamental ideological differences regarding the extent of government control over enhanced individuals.

Some member states argue that a centralized regulatory framework is essential to prevent the unchecked use of superhuman abilities, emphasizing the need for enhanced individuals to operate under direct government supervision. This perspective contends that such oversight is crucial to maintaining national and global security, as well as ensuring accountability for the actions of those with extraordinary powers.

On the opposing side, advocates for individual autonomy, including Captain America and his supporters, assert that enforcing stringent government control infringes upon the rights and freedoms of enhanced individuals.

They argue that such oversight could potentially lead to the exploitation of superhuman abilities for political purposes or compromise the moral compass of these individuals by subjugating them to external agendas. The clash between these contrasting viewpoints underscores the delicate balance between collective security and individual liberties.



Challenges

Critics of the Sokovia Accords raise concerns about the practicality and effectiveness of implementing a global regulatory framework.

The bureaucratic complexities associated with registering, monitoring, and coordinating enhanced individuals across borders pose significant challenges. Questions have been raised about the capacity of international organizations to manage the vast array of superhuman abilities and the potential for bureaucratic inefficiencies to impede timely responses to emerging threats.

Additionally, skeptics argue that the Accords may inadvertently create a false sense of security, as the focus on regulation might divert attention from addressing the root causes of conflicts. The emphasis on paperwork and legalities may hinder the agility required to respond swiftly to crises, leading to a potential mismatch between the Accords' intent and their real-world impact.

A critical point of contention surrounding the Sokovia Accords revolves around privacy and the potential misuse of sensitive information. The Accords mandate enhanced individuals to disclose their identities, capabilities, and personal details to an international body, raising legitimate concerns about the security and confidentiality of this information. Critics argue that this level of transparency may make enhanced individuals vulnerable to exploitation, both by governments and external entities seeking to leverage their abilities for malicious purposes.

The question of how to safeguard this sensitive information and prevent unauthorized access becomes paramount. Striking a balance between transparency for accountability and protecting the privacy rights of enhanced individuals presents a considerable challenge for the international community.

Topic Consequences



The enforcement of the Sokovia Accords has led to unintended consequences, including the division and internal conflict within the superhero community. The Accords, intended to enhance global security, have inadvertently compromised the ability of enhanced individuals to effectively collaborate in times of crisis. Critics argue that the punitive measures imposed on those who defy the Accords, such as imprisonment or loss of personal freedom, undermine the very principles they seek to uphold.

In the wake of the Sokovia Accords, the superhero community has experienced a significant fragmentation, with deep-seated divisions persisting among enhanced individuals. Some superheroes have chosen to comply with the Accords, aligning themselves with government agencies and participating in regulated missions. Others, however, vehemently oppose the Accords, viewing them as a threat to personal freedom and autonomy. This schism within the superhero community raises questions about the effectiveness of the regulatory framework in fostering unity and collaboration in the face of global threats.

The enforcement of the Sokovia Accords has not eradicated the emergence of new superhuman threats. On the contrary, tensions between enhanced individuals and governments have created an environment conducive to the rise of radicalized factions and antagonistic entities. As a result, the United Nations Security Council faces the challenge of reconciling the need for enhanced individuals to combat evolving threats with the imperative to maintain a structured and regulated approach.

Recent incidents involving rogue enhanced individuals operating outside the bounds of the Sokovia Accords have further strained international relations. The Council must confront the reality that while the Accords sought to prevent uncontrolled actions, they have not eliminated the presence of rogue elements, necessitating a reevaluation of the current regulatory framework.

Committee Initiatives



In response to the ongoing challenges, member states have proposed amendments to the Sokovia Accords. These amendments aim to address the aforementioned controversies, including concerns about privacy, information security, and the balance between individual rights and collective security. The diplomatic landscape is fraught with negotiations, as nations grapple with finding common ground that ensures both the effectiveness of the Accords and the protection of fundamental liberties.

International diplomatic initiatives are underway to foster dialogue between governments, enhanced individuals, and advocacy groups. The objective is to create a more inclusive and adaptive framework that accommodates the diverse perspectives within the global community. The UNSC must actively engage in these diplomatic efforts to facilitate consensus-building and guide the evolution of the Sokovia Accords in a manner that reflects the changing dynamics of the enhanced individual landscape.

A critical aspect of the ongoing discourse surrounding the Sokovia Accords involves the treatment of enhanced individuals who choose to operate outside the regulatory framework. The UNSC is presented with the challenge of balancing punitive measures with efforts to rehabilitate and reintegrate rogue elements into society. This raises ethical and humanitarian considerations, as well as questions about the capacity of international institutions to provide adequate support for the mental and emotional well-being of enhanced individuals.

The outlook involves exploring ways to create a more compassionate and rehabilitative approach while maintaining accountability for actions that may pose a threat to global security. Humanitarian organizations, in collaboration with governments and the superhero community, can play a pivotal role in shaping policies that address the underlying causes of non-compliance and foster a sense of responsibility among enhanced individuals.

As the United Nations Security Council navigates these current developments and future challenges, it must adopt a proactive and adaptive approach to ensure that the Sokovia Accords evolve in a manner that effectively addresses emerging threats, respects individual rights, and promotes global stability. The success of these efforts hinges on the ability of the international community to forge consensus and adapt the regulatory framework to an ever-changing geopolitical landscape.

Participation List

1.- Nick Fury

It is not shown in the film “Civil War”, so its explicit position is not known **(to be defined)**

2.- Captain America (Steve Rogers)

He opposes the Sokovia Accords and believes that the Avengers should be free to act without government restrictions.

3.- Wanda Maximoff (Scarlet Witch)

He opposes the Sokovia Accords and believes that the Avengers should be free to act without government restrictions.

4.- Iron Man (Tony Stark)

He supports the Sokovia Accords and believes the Avengers need government regulation to avoid collateral damage and legal liability.

5.- Black Widow (Natasha Romanoff)

He initially supports Iron Man and the Sokovia Accords, but later switches sides to help Captain America.

6.- Black Panther (T'Challa)

At first, he seeks personal revenge but later joins Iron Man and supports the Sokovia Accords.

7.- Thor

At first, he seeks personal revenge but later joins Iron Man and supports the Sokovia Accords.

Participation List

8.- Bucky Barnes (Winter Soldier)

Sides with Captain America as he tries to protect him from being imprisoned for his past actions.

9.- United States

The US government generally supports the Sokovia Accords.

10.- United Kingdom

As a country it is not specifically mentioned in the film “Civil War”, but a meeting from the United Nations take place in it.
(to be defined)

11.- US Congressman

For the most part, supports the Sokovia Accords.

12.- France

As a country it is not specifically mentioned in the film “Civil War”, but a meeting from the United Nations take place in it.
(to be defined)

13.- Hawkeye (Clint Barton)

Initially retired, he returns to support Captain America and oppose the Sokovia Accords.

14.- Agent Ross

He supports the Sokovia Accords and acts as the government's main advocate in regulating the Avengers.

Participation List

15.- Russia

As a country it is not specifically mentioned in the film "Civil War", but a meeting from the United Nations take place in it.
(to be defined)

16.- China

As a country it is not specifically mentioned in the film "Civil War", but a meeting from the United Nations take place in it.
(to be defined)

17.- Sokovia

It's not specifically mentioned, but the Accords are named after the country, which was devastated in "Avengers: Age of Ultron."

18.- Wakanda

T'Challa (Black Panther) initially seeks revenge, but later joins Iron Man and supports the Sokovia Accords.

19.- Maria Hill

It is not shown in the film, so her position is unknown.
(to be defined)

20.- Sharon Carter

She initially works for S.H.I.E.L.D. and supports the Sokovia Accords, but later helps Captain America and his allies.

References



- **IMDb: Ratings, Reviews, and Where to Watch the Best Movies & TV Shows. (s. f.). IMDb. <https://www.imdb.com/>**
- Zachary, B. (s. f.). CBR. CBR. <https://www.cbr.com/>
- Variety. (s. f.). Variety. <https://variety.com/>
- The Hollywood Reporter. (s. f.). The Hollywood Reporter. <https://www.hollywoodreporter.com/>
- Marvel Cinematic Universe Wiki | Fandom. (s. f.). https://marvelcinematicuniverse.fandom.com/wiki/Marvel_Cinematic_Universe_Wiki